From: Moore, Gary
To: Criner, Jeffrey J.

Subject: Re: CES Removal Assessment 1900-55

Date: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 11:09:07 AM

Jeff

The number of hours charged seems to be high for the activities identified if he is to provide upper level guidance.

Also, will Sam be down just for my site. If so, I would like him to assist in the work as well.

Thanks Gary

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2014, at 11:14 AM, "Criner, Jeffrey J." < <u>Jeff.Criner@WestonSolutions.com</u>> wrote:

Hi Gary,

I understand your concern and let me try and explain. Derrick was not conducting data management and that is a bad comment in the RCMS report. Derrick, however, was assisting Daniel with developing the report structure, content, figures needed/recommended, identifying supporting documentation, and some data management (lab data consolidation and tables to present, etc.) for a removal assessment report of this size. Derrick was the lead on-site as you know and he feels he needs to remain involved from a higher level. He understands his rate is too high and he will not serve as the primary author. We have Daniel to do that at a lower level as we discussed a couple of weeks ago.

Moving forward, I would propose that Derrick be involved from time to time (as higher level reviewer) to assist Daniel as needed. I don't think this will be a lot of hours for Derrick but he will be a valuable resource to Daniel as he writes this report. Removal assessment reports of this size are complicated and Daniel will need some help filling in data gaps. I will give you advance notice if and when Derrick will be reviewing sections of the report, etc.

I will back out all of the hours if you feel that is needed.

No travel charges for Sam Cheek. I would like for Sam to get the entire team off on the right foot which is why we are proposing to bring him down. Sam would only be here for a couple of days.

I agree with your comment regarding this being normal activity that we perform routinely. We have a lot of information about site contents. Limited level B initially and downgrading to level C is the plan based on air monitoring/visual observations/HAZCAT.

Give me a call or shoot over an email with any concerns. We will make this right! Thanks!

From: Moore, Gary [mailto:Moore.Gary@epa.gov] Sent: Monday, December 29, 2014 1:48 PM

To: Criner, Jeffrey J.

Subject: CES Removal Assessment 1900-55

Jeff:

I had alot of hours charged by Derrick on the the 12/19/14 1900-55 under Data Managment. I am not sure what he actually did but it was nothing directly for me. I cannot afford his high rate on this site and when or if he charges, I need to be specifically aware. I would like his charges backed out or a detailed explanation of the data managment activities that were conducted.

Also, it is my understanding that you guys are going to have Sam Cheek come down for the hazcatting exercise. Although, I welcome Health and Safety coming down to look at things, do you not have a person out of Houston that could do that rather than me paying lodgin/per diem/ air flight for this activity.

This is an activity that you guys normally do for us and should have protocols in place to do this. There is nothing they are likely to encounter that they have not already encountered at this site. I am ok with the limited level B to confirm the volatiles are ok for a lower level of protection but not for an ongoing effort unless the monitoring dictates that protection. See 1900-55 that I am concerned about.

**Thanks** 

**Gary Moore** 

**Thanks** 

Gary Moore

Federal On-Scene Coordinator U.S. EPA Region 6 214-789-1627 cell 214-665-6609 office

moore.gary@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.